

















































































punitive solutions that seek to scapegoat individuals for the structural ills of capitalism, it may be more productive to focus on reparative and restorative forms of justice. One of the lessons of this Article is that the destruction of nature and the violence inflicted on marginalized populations are deeply intertwined. A second lesson is that the climate crisis originates in and intensifies the injustices caused by slavery, colonialism, and neocolonialism. As Sarah Riley Case has observed, reparations for ecological and racial injustices are rarely discussed together by international legal scholars.<sup>250</sup> Recognizing the interconnectedness of slavery, colonialism, neocolonialism, and climate change could lead to more robust calls for structural, forward-looking reparations that subvert rather than reinforce the core features of racial capitalism.<sup>251</sup>

---

<sup>250</sup> Riley Case, *supra* note 122, at 50.

<sup>251</sup> See, e.g., OLÚFÉMI O. TÁÍWÒ, RECONSIDERING REPARATIONS (2022) (providing a framework for reparations that fuses demands for racial justice, decolonization, decarbonization, and environmental and climate justice); Gonzalez, *supra* note 119, at 434–40 (calling for the right to migrate and resettle in the Global North as one form of reparation for persons displaced by the interconnected injustices of colonialism, neocolonialism, and climate change).